UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-5868-MWF (KS)		Date: October 22, 2018		
Title	Natalio Trevizo	o v. S. Webster et al			
Present: The Honorable: Karen L. Stevenson, United States Magistrate Judge					
Gay Roberson Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		
A	Attorneys Present f	For Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL

On August 8, 2017, Plaintiff, a prisoner incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution at Lompoc, California ("FCI-Lompoc") and proceeding *pro se*, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unnamed Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), concerning, *inter alia*, Plaintiff's exposure to, and contraction of, an infectious medical disease and his subsequent medical treatment. (Dkt. No. 1.) On July 31, 2018, the Court dismissed the Complaint with leave to amend and ordered Plaintiff to file a First Amended Complaint correcting the defects identified by the Court no later than August 30, 2018. (Dkt. No. 19.) On August 30, 2018, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (the "FAC"). (Dkt. No. 23.) On September 6, 2018, the Court dismissed the First Amended Complaint and ordered Plaintiff to file a Second Amended Complaint within 30 days, *i.e.*, no later than October 6, 2018, and warned Plaintiff that his failure to do so could result in a recommendation of dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Dkt. No. 25.)

On September 10, 2018, Plaintiff sent the Clerk a document entitled "Power of Attorney," which did not reference the case caption, case number, or any of the factual allegations of Plaintiff's earlier pleadings, and the Court ordered the document rejected and returned. (Dkt. No. 26.) More than two weeks have passed since Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint was due, and Plaintiff has not filed the Second Amended Complaint, notified the Court of a change of address, or otherwise communicated with the Court about this case.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-5868-MWF (KS)	Date: Octobe	er 22, 2018
Title	Natalio Trevizo v. S. Webster et al		
subject to	rsuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of involuntary dismissal if a plaintiff "fails to court order." Accordingly, the Court could for Plaintiff's failure to timely comply w	prosecute or to complete properly recommend	ly with these dismissal of
on or before be dismiss request for signed under the sign	owever, in the interests of justice, Plaintiff is fore November 12, 2018, why the Court shows sed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff may do not an extension of time to file a Second Amender penalty of perjury, explaining why he er 6, 2018 order; or (2) a Second Amended Cowish to pursue this action, he may dismiss the signed document entitled "Notice Of Volumes).	ould not recommend the ischarge this Order by ended Complaint and a failed to comply with omplaint. Alternativel the Complaint without	at this action filing: (1) a a declaration the Court's y, if Plaintiff prejudice by
	aintiff is advised that the failure to responnmend dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(bre.		
IT	IS SO ORDERED.		
		Initials of Preparer	gr